



Attachment A-1

Grid Analysis and 5 Why's- Focus Groups Data

Results from NRCA Board Meeting 1/27/17 and
Floyd Local Advisory Board Meeting 12/16



BOARD MEETING - 5 WHY'S

Results from exercise




JANUARY 27, 2017

NRCA


Affordable Housing

NRCA
Board
Meeting
1/27/17




Affordable Housing Cont.

NRCA
Board
Meeting
1/27/17




Transportation



NRCA
Board
Meeting
1/27/17


Substance Abuse

NRCA
Board
Meeting
1/27/17




Jobs that offer Living Wages

NRCA
Board
Meeting
1/27/17




Jobs that offer living wages continued

NRCA
Board
Meeting
1/27/17




Homeless Shelters/Services

NRCA
Board
Meeting
1/27/17




Affordable Childcare

NRCA
Board
Meeting
1/27/17




Employment




Floyd Local Advisory Board Meeting 12/16

Housing

Floyd Local Advisory Board Meeting 12/16



Maybe a way to engage landlords/property owners would be to educate them about the needs of low income families. Have local government offer tax incentives to landlords providing housing for the low-income. Perhaps we should map affordable housing.



Prioritized Statements and Application to the Grid Analysis Tool

1/27/17

NRCA Board Members were asked to vote on a list of 25 Statements in which to give priority to the needs identified by surveys and focus groups. The top four statements would be applied to a grid analysis tool.

The following are the top four statements voted on:

1. Magnitude of the problem: how much of a burden is placed on the community in terms of financial losses, years of potential.
2. The availability of financial resources needed to address the issue adequately.
3. Economic burden on the community.
4. Solutions could impact multiple problems.

Prioritized statements were applied to the grid analysis tool and weighted based on the Board’s prioritization by their votes. The prioritization statements are arranged in the columns of the grid analysis tool, and their weight listed in the following row of the grid analysis tool.

Prioritized statements					
	Magnitude of the problem	Availability of financial resources	Economic burden on the community	The solution could impact multiple problems	Total:
Weight	5	4	3	2	Max (42)

Using the Grid Analysis Tool to Tally Needs

Next, the needs identified through surveys and focus group activities were listed in the first column beginning in the third row of the grid analysis tool, one need per row.

Each need was given a number equivalent based on importance in the following manner: 1=low/least important, 2=okay/important, 3= good/very important for each of the weighted and prioritized statements. Importance was applied to each need then multiplied by the weight attributed to each prioritized statement.

Prioritized statements	Magnitude of the problem	Availability of financial resources	Economic burden on the community	The solution could impact multiple problems	Total:
Weight	5	4	3	2	Max (42)
List of needs					
Health	3x5=15	2x4=8	3X3=9	3x2=6	38
Employability	3x5=15	1x4=4	3X3=9	3x2=6	34
Education	3x5=15	2x4=8	3X3=9	3x2=6	38
Housing	3x5=15	2x4=8	3X3=9	3x2=6	38
Substance Abuse	3x5=15	1x4=4	3X3=9	2x2=4	32
Coordinated Services	2x5=10	2x4=8	3X3=9	3x2=6	32
Transportation	3x5=15	1x4=4	1x3=3	3x2=6	28

The tallied results ranked and identified our needs. Staff recommended to the Board the needs with the highest scores as priorities for the coming years.

Results from the grid analysis can be found on page 107 of the 2017 Assessment of Area Needs and Resources in Floyd, Giles, Montgomery, Pulaski Counties and Radford City.